ألاه ألوجهموا ϵ $\frac{1}{2}$

In the name of God

```
Cas pian Journal of Computational & Mathematical Engineering (CJCME) 
Director & Licensee : Dr. Seyed Mohammadali Raeisian
Editor in Chief : Dr. S.M.Ali Raeisian
License No : 75729
ISSN (Print) : 2476 – 4418 , 
ISSN (Online) : 2476 – 5252
Email address : CJCMEmail@gmail.com
Postal address : P.O .Box 44815 -1165 ( Dr.S.M.Ali Raeisian ), 
Roodsar, Gilan , Iran
Phone : (+98) 13-42 613646 , (+98) 911 143 4988
Website : http://www.cjcomputmathengin.com
Editing & Paging : Rah-poyan Andisheh & Honar cultural & 
artistic institute. rpandishehonar@gmail.com
Price : 150 000 IRR
```
September 2016 , No.2

Copyright © 2016 CJCME

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the written permission of the copyright owner.

Submission Guidelines

Manuscript

. Paper must be current and their main contribution have not been published elsewhere .

. The title of the paper must concise and informative . It is highly desirable to divide the paper into different sections , such as Introduction , Problem Statements , Results , Discussion , and Conclusion .

. Presented papers must include an abstract of maximum 70 words .

. Your article(s) must be classified with MSC 2010 .

. The manuscript must be prepared and submitted as a Word file (Maximum 20 pages) .

. The name(s) , affiliation(s) , address(es) , and email(s) must be included .

. You must submit the paper electronically to journal email address CJCMEmail@gmail.com

Review process

. Article should be submitted to the related CJCME reviews team and undergo rigorous peer review .

. Your name , address , and email will be seen by reviewers .

. The journal has permission to accept , reject , remove , or summarize some part of the manuscript for publication .

Copy right

Knowing the fact that the journal publishes results of academic and research activities of faculty members and researchers in all engineering and fundamental sciences fields free of any publication fee , papers are considered on the understanding that , if they are accepted for publication , the entire copy right shall pass to CJCME journal . All authors are asked to sign a copy right agreement to this effect . The completed form with your final revised paper must be submitted . Any paper published in this journal may be republished in print or in another online venue .

You find more detail in : **http://www.cjcomputmathengin.com/submission-guidelines/**

Contents

" Exact and numerical soliton solutions to nonlinear wave equations ", Kamruzzaman Khan, Henk Koppelaar , M. Ali Ak bar ... P.5

" A Survey on Effective Solution of the Boundary Value Problem for Improperly Elliptic Equations " , S. M .Ali Raeisian ………………………………………………………………….........……..…… P.23

Exact and numerical soliton solutions to nonlinear wave equations

Kamruzzaman Khan, Department of Mathematics, Pabna University of Science & Technology, Pabna-6600, Email: k.khanru@gmail.com

Henk Koppelaar,

Faculty of Electrical Eng., Mathematics and Comp. Science, Delft University of Techn., Netherlands. Email: Koppelaar.Henk@gmail.com

M. Ali Ak bar, Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh. Email: ali_math74@yahoo.com

AMS 2010 Mathematical Subject Classifiaction: 35K99, 35P05, 35P99.

*Keywords***:** Functional variable method; MEE circular rod; Exact solution; Solitary wave; NLEEs.

Abstract: Because most physical systems are inherently nonlinear by nature, mathematical modeling of physical systems often leads to nonlinear evolution equations. Investigating traveling wave solutions of nonlinear evolution equations (NLEEs) plays a significant role in studying such nonlinear physical phenomena. This paper discusses the application of the functional variable method for finding solitary and periodic wave solutions of the longitudinal wave motion NLEE in a nonlinear magneto-electro-elastic (MEE) circular rod. Each of the obtained solutions contains an explicit function of the variables in the considered equations. The applied method yielded a powerful mathematical tool for solving these nonlinear wave equations without the necessity of a computer algebra system; according the widespread view "real mathematicians don not compute". However, if the obtained exact hyperbolic solutions are executed numerically, then they show an undesirable unknown numerical conditioning problem. This paper contains a warning against it and information about how to prevent this outcome.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding of a wide variety of nonlinear phenomena in different branches of physics and other knowledge domains has been achieved by means of exact integrable model equations, which solving yields a deeper understanding than those models approximately describing nature. Of course, "nearly" integrable systems and their evolution can be described by means of perturbation theory; building upon wave dynamics. But this paper focuses on constructing exact soliton waves and the periodic solutions for nonlinear evolution equations, including their subsequent exploitation for numerical purposes. This study was originated by Korteweg, together with PhD candidate, de Vries. Together, they derived, from physics first principles, a nonlinear partial differential equation, known as the KdV equation.

Solutions are best understood by studying waves in shallow waters. The KdV equation revealed solitary waves (i.e. waves with permanent shape). This seemingly contradicts nature, as a traveling wave commonly dissolves by radiation, as in the electromagnetic case. The permanency of shape is due to both a balance of dispersion and nonlinear effects. The nonlinear effects derive from wave packets, of nearly the same length propagating with their group velocity, while individual component waves in the packet move through the packet with their phase velocity. It can be generally shown that the energy of a wave disturbance is propagated at the group velocity, not at the phase velocity.

Long-wave components of a general solution travel faster than the shortwave components, thus the components disperse. The standard linear theory predicts the dispersal of any disturbance other than a purely sinusoidal one.

Zabusky and Kruskal (both in 1965 at Bell Labs in Murray Hill, New Jersey, USA) simulated numerical solutions of the KdV equation [1]. They noticed, using a difference analog for the KdV equation [2; p. 233], that colliding solitary waves of emerged from collisions with all of their original properties intact. They also noted sinusoidal waves evolving into solitary waves with dispersive radiation. Because of this particle-like behavior of the solitary waves, they coined the term "*solitons*" [3,

4]. The name caught on quickly amongst mathematical physicists as the soliton was the first "particle" to be discovered with pure mathematics.

Incidentally, in water tank experiments, conducted in 1834, John Scott Russell observed that solitary waves could pass through each other and subsequently emerge unchanged. As the concept of fundamental particles did not emerge until early in the next century, Russel did conceptualize his findings as such. Nowadays, the phenomenon is viewed from a more general point of view; recognizing solitons and their approximations in many wave phenomena.

The superconducting state is not the sole exception; there are also topological solitons known as vortices and fluxions. On both microscopic and macroscopic levels solitons are discoverable. Taking into account the omniscient inherent nonlinearities in the nature of matter and propagation media, appearance of solitons can be predicted. This paper provides a solution for the nonlinear equation of motion for magnetic excitation and confirms the existence of magnetic solitons. Of course, under strict mathematical definition, which implies an infinite life-time and an infinity of conservation laws, exact solitons cannot exist. The mathematical definition of solitons, albeit derived from nature by Zabusky and Kruskal, is never fully observable as in nature there is not an infinite lifetime. The observed 'quasisolitons' are often so long-lived that they are almost 'true solitons'. This is why physicists often generalize the word 'soliton', without full justice to mathematical rigor [5]. Physics, Chemistry, and Biology describe processes and phenomena using theoretical Differential Models endowed with empirical parameters and/or empirical functions. Exact solutions of those differential equations are preferable for researchers as they enable the design of experiments yielding either a positive or negative correlation via creating approximating natural conditions, from which estimates are derived as to their parameters and/or functions. This makes the search for exact solutions of NLEEs very significant.

The insolvability of model equations, however grounded they empirically are, is potentially a severe drawback. This is where the invention of mathematical methods is critical as it provides exact solutions to the model equations. As a result, many techniques of solving traveling wave equations have been developed over the last three decades, such as, the Tanh–Coth function method [6, 7]; the Kudryashov method [8]; the Exp -function method [9 - 12]; the homotopy perturbation method [13 - 15]; the modified simple equation method $[16 - 19]$; the (G/G) -expansion method [20 - 24]; the exp-expansion method [25]; the transformed rational function method [26]; Ricatti Ansätze [27]; the multiple exp-function method [28, 29]; the generalized Hirota bilinear method [30]; and the Frobenius integrable decompositions [31]; as well as many others. Each methods has its distinct pluses and minuses.

A unified method applicable to all types of NLEEs would be a scientific breakthrough for all knowledge domains, including Physics. Until then, improvement of a particular method to reveal/predict unknown solutions to existing NLEEs, will have to be researched in the various application domains.

Zerarka et al. [32] in 2010 proposed a functional variable method to solve NLEEs arising in mathematical physics. This pioneering work spawned studies to refine the initial idea [5, 33]

This paper applies the functional variable method to construct exact solutions for nonlinear longitudinal wave motion equations in nonlinear magneto-electro-elastic circular rods. We extended the use of the functional variable method by developing a novel solution procedure of simplicity, capable of extension to all NLEEs.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2, the functional variable method; Section 3, application of this method to the nonlinear evolution equation mentioned before; Section 4, results and discussion; and Section 5 Conclusions.

THE ALGORITHM OF THE FUNCTIONAL VARIABLE METHOD

Zerarka et al. [32] were the first to propose the functional variable method to solve various NLEEs arising in mathematical physics and engineering. First, the NLEE is written in two independent variables *x* and *t*,

$$
F(u, ut, ux, utt, uxx, utt, \cdots) = 0
$$
 (1)

as where the subscript denotes partial derivative, where F is a polynomial in $u(x, t)$ and its partial derivatives and $u(x, t)$ is called a dependent variable or unknown function, to be determined. The main steps of this method are as follows [5, 32-34]:

Step 1. To find the traveling wave solutions of $Eq.(1)$ we introduce the wave variable $\xi = k(x \pm \omega t)$, so that $u(x, t) = u(\xi)$, where $\omega \in \Re - \{0\}$ is the wave velocity and k the wave number. This, reduces Eq.(1) into the following ordinary differential equation (ODE):

$$
X\left(u, u_{\xi}, u_{\xi\xi}, u_{\xi\xi\xi}, \cdots\right) = 0\tag{2}
$$

Where *X* is a polynomial in $u(\xi)$ and its derivatives, $u_{\xi} = \frac{du}{d\xi}$, $u_{\xi\xi} = \frac{du}{d\xi^2}$ $u_{\xi\xi} = \frac{d^2u}{dt^2}$ *dξ* $u_{\varepsilon} = \frac{du}{dx}$ 2 , and so on.

Step 2. We make a transformation in which the unknown function $u(\xi)$ is considered as a functional variable in the form:

$$
u_{\xi} = Y(u) \tag{3}
$$

and some successively derivatives of $u(\xi)$ are as follows:

$$
u_{\text{ex}} = Y Y = \frac{1}{2} (Y^2)
$$

$$
u_{\text{ex}} = \frac{1}{2} (Y^2) Y = \frac{1}{2} (Y^2) \sqrt{Y^2}
$$

$$
u_{\text{ex}} = \frac{1}{2} \Big((Y^2)^{\text{T}} Y^2 + \frac{1}{2} (Y^2) (Y^2) \Big)
$$

(4)

where
$$
Y' = \frac{dY}{du}
$$
, $Y'' = \frac{d^2Y}{du^2}$ and so on.

Step 3. We substitute Eq.(3) and Eq.(4) into Eq.(2) to reduce it to the following ODE:

$$
Q(u, Y, Y', Y'', \cdots \cdots) = 0
$$
\n⁽⁵⁾

Step 4: The key idea of Eq.(5) is of special importance because it admits analytical solutions for a large class of nonlinear wave equations. After integration, Eq.(5) provides an expression of *Y*, and this, in turn with (3), gives appropriate solutions to the original wave equations. In order to illustrate how the method works, we examine some examples in the following section, were previously been treated using other pre-existing methods.

APPLICATION OF THE FUNCTIONAL VARIABLE METHOD

Nowadays in solid mechanics, nonlinear elastic effects on solitary waves are receiving considerable attention. On the basis of classical linear theory, Zhang and Liu [35] solved the nonlinear equations for a thin elastic rod and derived the longitudinal, torsional and flexural waves using Hamilton's variation principle. Liu and Zhang [36] solved the nonlinear wave equation in an elastic rod by the Jacobi elliptic function expansion method.

With the increasing usage of magneto-electro-elastic (MEE) structures in various engineering fields, such as actuators, sensors, etc., wave propagation in MEE media has also attracted more researchers. Using the propagator matrix and state-vector approach, Chen et al*.* [37] presented an analytical treatment for the propagation of harmonic waves in MEE multilayered plates.

Based on the constitutive relation for transversely isotropic piezoelectric and piezomagnetic materials, combined with the differential equations of motion, Xue et al. [38] derived a longitudinal wave motion equation in a Magneto-electro-elastic circular rod of the form,

$$
u_{tt} - c_0^2 \cdot u_{zz} - \left(\frac{c_0^2}{2}u^2 + Nu_{tt}\right)_{zz} = 0
$$
\n(6)

where c_0 is the linear longitudinal wave velocity for a MEE circular rod and *N* is the dispersion parameter, both depending on the material properties as well as the geometry of the rod. Eq.(6) is a nonlinear wave equation with dispersion caused by the transverse Poisson's effect.

The traveling wave transformation is:

$$
u(x,t) = u(\xi), \qquad \xi = k(z - \omega t)
$$
\n(7)

Eq.(7) reduces Eq.(6) into the following ODEs:

$$
k^{2} \omega^{2} u_{\xi\xi} - k^{2} c_{0}^{2} \cdot u_{\xi\xi} - k^{2} \left(\frac{c_{0}^{2}}{2} u^{2} + N k^{2} \omega^{2} u_{\xi\xi} \right)_{\xi\xi} = 0
$$

(8)

By integrating Eq.(8) twice with respect to ζ and neglecting the integration constant, we obtain:

$$
u_{\xi\xi} = \frac{\omega^2 - c_0^2}{Nk^2 \omega^2} u - \frac{c_0^2}{2Nk^2 \omega^2} u^2.
$$

(9)

Following Eq.(4), it is easy to deduce from Eq.(9) an expression for the function *Y(u)* : \sim \sim λ

$$
\left(Y^{2}(u)\right)' = \frac{2\left(\omega^{2} - c_{0}^{2}\right)}{Nk^{2}\omega^{2}}u - \frac{c_{0}^{2}}{Nk^{2}\omega^{2}}u^{2}.
$$
\n(10)

Integrating Eq.(10) and setting the constant of integration to zero yields:

 \mathbb{R}^2

$$
Y^{2}(u) = \frac{(\omega^{2} - c_{0}^{2})}{Nk^{2}\omega^{2}}u^{2} - \frac{c_{0}^{2}}{3Nk^{2}\omega^{2}}u^{3}
$$
\n(11)

or

$$
Y(u) = \pm \frac{c_0}{\omega k} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{3N}\right)} u \sqrt{A - u} \text{, where } A = \frac{3(\omega^2 - c_0^2)}{c_0^2}. \tag{12}
$$

Substituting Eq. (3) into Eq. (12) , we obtain

$$
u_{\xi} = \pm \frac{c_0}{\omega k} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{3N}\right)} u \sqrt{A - u} . \tag{13}
$$

Separating the variables in Eq.(13) and then integrating, by setting the constant of integration to zero, yields:

$$
\int \frac{du}{u\sqrt{(A-u)}} = \pm \frac{c_0}{\omega k} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{3N}\right)} \xi.
$$
\n(14)

Finally, by completing the integration in Eq. (14), two cases of traveling wave solutions of the longitudinal motion equation are obtained (in a nonlinear magnetoelectro-elastic circular rod) after a straightforward algebraic manipulation.

If $\frac{\left(\omega^2-c_{0^2}\right)}{1} > 0$ $\omega^2 - c_{\omega}$ $\frac{0}{N}$ > \overline{a} we obtain the following hyperbolic traveling wave solutions $u(z,t)$:

$$
u_1(z,t) = \frac{3(\omega^2 - c_0^2)}{c_0^2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\omega} \sqrt{\frac{(\omega^2 - c_0^2)}{N}} (z - \omega t) \right).
$$

$$
u_2(z,t) = -\frac{3(\omega^2 - c_0^2)}{c_0^2} \operatorname{csch}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\omega} \sqrt{\frac{(\omega^2 - c_0^2)}{N}} (z - \omega t) \right)
$$
(15)

Eq.(15) and Eq.(16) are solitary wave solutions for the longitudinal wave motion equation of a magneto-electro-elastic circular rod.

If $\frac{(\omega^2-c_0^2)}{c}$ < 0 2 $\overline{0}$ 2 *< N* $\left(\frac{\omega^2 - c_0^2}{\omega}\right)$ < 0, Eq. (15) and Eq. (16) reduce to periodic wave solutions as

follows:

$$
u_3(z,t) = \frac{3(\omega^2 - c_0^2)}{c_0^2} \sec^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\omega} \sqrt{\frac{c_0^2 - \omega^2}{N}} \right) (z - \omega t) \tag{17}
$$

\n
$$
u_3(z,t) = \frac{3(\omega^2 - c_0^2)}{c_0^2} \csc^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\omega} \sqrt{\frac{c_0^2 - \omega^2}{N}} \right) (z - \omega t) \tag{18}
$$

\nEq.(18) are periodic wave solutions for the longitudinal wave motion
\na magnetic-electro-elastic circular rod. *Note*: In Eq. (15) - Eq. (18),
\ne validity and reliability of the obtained results was checked with help
\nsubstituting them back into the original equations and found them to be
\nerical accuracy, however, in the results Eq. (15) - Eq. (18) may impede
\nns. This depends on the default accuracy of the number representation
\ntal a computer, is in Eq. (16), the large x the right
\nHS) exhibited much better numerical accuracy than the left hand side
\nanding on the computer's decimal digits representation d, inaccuracy
\nit a major factor.
\n*y*, care has to be taken in determining which representation to choose
\napplications. If it is mandatory to have well-conditioned numerical
\nand the arguments for the circular or hyperbolic functions are low or
\nthose either our un-simplified results Eq. (19) - Eq. (22):
\n
$$
u_1(z,t) = \frac{2A}{1 + \cosh \left(\frac{c_0}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{A}{3N}} \left(z - \omega t \right) \right)}
$$

\nand of Computational & Mathematical Engineering
\n(September 2016)
\nputMathEnglish.com
\n13

Eq.(17) and Eq.(18) are periodic wave solutions for the longitudinal wave motion equation of a magneto-electro-elastic circular rod. *Note*: In Eq. (15) - Eq. (18), $\omega \neq \pm c_0$.

*Remark***:** The validity and reliability of the obtained res ults was checked with help of Maple, by substituting them back into the original equations and found them to be correct. Numerical accuracy, however, in the results Eq. (15) - Eq. (18) may impede in applications. This depends on the default accuracy of the number representation of the numerical computation engine. For example, in Eq. (16), the large *x* the right hand side (RHS) exhibited much better numerical accuracy than the left hand side (LHS). Depending on the computer's decimal digits representation d, inaccuracy may represent a major factor.

Consequently, care has to be taken in determining which representation to choose for industrial applications. If it is mandatory to have well-conditioned numerical evaluations and the arguments for the circular or hyperbolic functions are low or high, then choose either our un-simplified results Eq. (15) - Eq. (18), or the following symbolic simplifications of our results Eq. (19) - Eq. (22):

$$
u_1(z,t) = \frac{2A}{1 + \cosh\left(\frac{c_0}{\omega}\sqrt{\frac{A}{3N}}(z - \omega t)\right)}
$$
(19)

$$
u_2(z,t) = \frac{2A}{1 - \cosh\left(\frac{c_0}{\omega}\sqrt{\frac{A}{3N}}(z - \omega t)\right)}
$$
(20)

$$
u_3(z,t) = \frac{2A}{1 + \cos\left(\frac{c_0}{\omega}\sqrt{\frac{-A}{3N}}(z - \omega t)\right)}
$$

$$
u_4(z,t) = \frac{2A}{1 - \cos\left(\frac{c_0}{\omega}\sqrt{\frac{-A}{3N}}(z - \omega t)\right)}
$$
(22)

Note the similarity of the hyperbolic identities Eqns.(19, 20) with the circular Eqns. (21, 22).

The achieved mathematical or 'symbolic' correctness disguises a common numerical conditioning problem, omnipresent in the literature. It escapes awareness because solutions such as the those yielded by KdV equations are usually given as a squared hyperbolic function.

For small parameters just these squared hyperbolic functions are much worse than the reduced-order equivalents Eq. (19), Eq. (20) presented herein. In conclusion, reduction of the order of solutions obtained here remarkably improves the numerical accuracy, for s mall arguments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Now we will discuss the wave features of our obtained solutions. The obtained solutions Eq.(15) and Eq.(16) are solitary waves and Eq.(17) and Eq.(18) are plane periodic waves. The wave amplitude is $A = \frac{3(\omega^2 - c_0^2)}{2}$ 2 2 $\overline{0}$ $3\omega^2$ *c* $A = \frac{3(\omega^2 - c_0^2)}{2}$, the wave number is

$$
k = \frac{1}{2\omega} \sqrt{\frac{(\omega^2 - c_0^2)}{N}}
$$
 and the wave length is $\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{k} = \frac{4 \omega \pi}{c_0} \sqrt{\frac{3N}{A}}$. For

solitary waves to exist $\omega > c_0$ and the wave length is inversely proportional to the square root of the amplitude *A*, i.e., *A* $\lambda \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}$. On the other hand, if $\omega < c_0$ the waves are periodic and also traveling.

Solitary waves can be obtained from each traveling wave solution by setting particular values to its unknown parameters. By adjusting these parameters, one can get an internally localized mode. We present some Maple-plots of solitary waves constructed by taking suitable values of involved unknown parameters to visualize the underlying mechanis m of the original equation. In Figures1- 4.

The Eq. (15) exhibits bell-shaped soliton solutions. It has infinite wings or tails. This soliton is referred to as non-topological. This solution does not depend on the amplitude and high frequency. Figure 1 shows the shape of the exact bell-shaped soliton solution i.e., non-topological soliton solution of the MEE equation. (The figure only shows the shape of Eq. (15) with wave speed $\omega = 2$, $c_0 = 1$ and $N = 1$ within the constraints $-3 \le z, t \le 3$).

Fig. 1: Bell profile of Eq.(15) for wave speed $\omega = 2$ **,** $c_0 = 1$ **and** $N = 1$ **, within** the constraints $-3 \le z, t \le 3$.

The Eq.(16) is the singular soliton solutions of the MEE equation. Figure 2 shows the shape of singular solitons of Eq.(16) for a wave speed of $\omega = 2$, $c_0 = 1$ and $N =$ -2 within the constraints $3 \leq z$, $t \leq 3$.

Fig. 2: Singular soliton of Eq.(16) for wave speed *ω* **= 2, c⁰ = 1 and** *N* **= -2,** within the constraints $-3 \le z, t \le 3$.

Eq.(17) conveys the periodic traveling wave solutions of the MEE equation. Figure 3 shows the shape of these for wave speed $\omega = 2$, $c_0 = 1$ and $N = 1$ within the constraints $-3 \le z, t \le 3$.

Fig. 3: Periodic profile of Eq.(17) for wave speed $\omega = 2$ **,** $c_0 = 1$ **and** $N = 1$ **,** within the constraints $-3 \le z, t \le 3$.

Eq.(18) is the periodic traveling wave solutions of the MEE equation. Figure 4 shows the shape of periodic solution of Eq.(18) for a wave speed of $\omega = 2$, $C_0 = 1$ and $N = -2$ within the constraints $-3 \le z, t \le 3$.

Fig. 4: Singular profile of Eq.(18) for wave speed $\omega = 2$ **,** $c_0 = 1$ **and** $N = -2$ **, within the constraints -3 ≤** *z, t* **≤ 3.**

CONCLUSIONS

By using the functional variable method we obtained solitary and periodic wave solutions of longitudinal wave motion equations of a nonlinear magneto-electroelastic circular rods. The consistency of the method and the reduction of computational effort demonstrate its wider applicability. The solution procedure is very simple and the traveling wave solutions are expressed by hyperbolic, and trigonometric functions. The results also show that the method is simple and effective, and can be applied to many other nonlinear wave equations arising in mathematical physics.

Acknowledgments

We thank to anonymous referees for critical remarks to improve this paper.

```
Caspian Journal of Comput ational & Mathematical Engineering 
2016 , No.2 (September 2016 )
www.CJComputMathEngin.com
```
References

- 1. Th. P. Weissert, The Genesis of Simulation in Dynamics: Pursuing the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam Problem, Springer, New York, 1997.
- 2. V. A. Yurko, Inverse Spectral Problems for Differential Operators and Their Applications, Gordon and Breach Science Publ*.*, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*,* 2000.
- 3. A. Mourachkine, Room-Temperature Superconductivity, Cambridge International Science Publishing, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2004.
- 4. A. M. Wazwaz, Partial Differential Equations and Solitary Waves Theory, Higher Education Press, Beijing and Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.
- 5. A. Nazarzadeh, M. Eslami, M. Mirzazadeh, Exact solutions of some nonlinear partial differential equations using functional variable method, Pramana-Journal of Physics. Vol. 81, Nr. 2, 2013, pp. 225 – 236.
- 6. J. Lee, R. Sakthivel, New exact travelling wave solutions of bidirectional wave equations, Pramana-Journal of Physics. Vol. 76, Nr. 6, 2011, pp. 819 – 829.
- 7. J. Lee, R.Sakthivel, Exact travelling wave solutions for some nonlinear (N+1) dimensional evolution equations, Comput. Appl. Math*.* Vol. 31, 2012, pp. 219 – 243.
- 8. J. Lee, R. Sakthivel, Exact traveling wave solutions for some important nonlinear physical models, Pramana-Journal of Physics, Vol. 80, 2013, pp. $757 - 769.$
- 9. M. A. Akbar, N. H. M. Ali, Exp-function method for Duffing Equation and new solutions of $(2+1)$ dimensional dispersive long wave equations, Proc. in Applied Mathematics. Vol. 1, Nr. 2**,** 2011, pp. 30 – 42.
- 10. A. Bekir, A. Boz, Exact solutions for nonlinear evolution equations using Expfunction method, Physics Letters A. Vol. 372, 2008, pp. 1619 – 1625.
- 11. J. H. He, X. H. Wu, Exp-function method for nonlinear wave equations, Chaos Solitons & Fractals. Vol. 30, 2006, pp. 700 – 708.
- 12. J. Lee, R. Sakthivel, Exact travelling wave solutions of Schamel-Korteweg de-Vries equation, Reports on Mathematical Physics. Vol. 68, 2011, pp. $153 - 161$.
- 13. S. T. Mohiud-Din, Homotopy perturbation method for solving fourth-order boundary value problems, Math. Problems in Engineering. Vol. 2007, 2007, pp. 1 – 15. Article ID 98602, doi:10.1155/2007/98602.
- 14. S. T. Mohiud-Din, M. A. Akbar, Homotopy perturbation method for solving partial differential equations, Zeitschrift für Naturforschung $A - A$ Journal of Physical Sciences. Vol. 64a, 2009, pp. 157 – 170.
- 15. S. T. Mohyud-Din, A. Yildrim, S. Sariaydin, Approximate Series Solutions of the Viscous Cahn-Hilliard Equation via the Homotopy Perturbation Method, World Applied Sciences Journal. Vol. 11, Nr. 7, 2010, pp. 813 – 818.
- 16. M. T. Ahmed, K. Khan, M. A. Akbar, Study of Nonlinear Evolution Equations to Construct Travelling Wave Solutions via Modified Simple Equation Method, Physical Review & Research International. Vol. 3, Nr. 4, 2013 , pp. $490 - 503$.
- 17. A. J. M. Jawad, M. D. Petkovic, A. Biswas, Modified simple equation method for nonlinear evolution equations. Vol. 217, 2010, pp. 869 – 877.
- 18. K. Khan and M. A. Akbar, Solitary Wave Solutions of Some Coupled Nonlinear Evolution Equations, Journal of Scientific Researc. Vol. 6, Nr. 2, 2014, pp. 273 – 284. doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v6i 2.16671.
- 19. K. Khan, M. A. Akbar, Traveling Wave Solutions of Some coupled Nonlinear Evolution Equations, ISRN Mathematical Physics*,* Volume 2013, Article ID 685736,, 2013. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/685736.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/685736)
- 20. M. E. Islam, K. Khan, M. A. Akbar, R. Islam, Traveling Wave Solutions of Nonlinear Evolution Equation via Enhanced(G'/G)-Expansion Method, GANIT: Journal of Bangladesh Mathematical Society. Vol. 33, 2013, pp. 83 – 92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/ganit.v33i0.17662.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/ganit.v33i0.17662)
- 21. H. Kim, R. Sakthivel, New exact travelling wave solutions of some nonlinear higher dimensional physical models, Reports on Mathematical Physics. Vol. 70, 2012, pp. 39 – 50.
- 22. M. Wang, X. Li, J. Zhang, The (*G'*/*G*)-expansion method and travelling wave solutions nonlinear evolution equations in mathematical physics, Physics Letters A*.* Vol. 372, 2008, pp. 417 – 423.
- 23. K. Khan, M. A. Akbar, H. Koppelaar, Study of coupled nonlinear partial differential equations for finding exact analytical solutions, Royal Society Open Science. Vol. 2, Nr. 7, 140406, 2015. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.140406.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.140406)
- 24. K. Khan, M. A. Akbar, M. M. Rashidi, I. Zamanpour, Exact traveling wave solutio-ns of an autonomous system via the enhanced (G/G) -expansion method, Waves in Random and Complex Media. Vol. 25, Nr. 4, 2015, pp. 644 – 655. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17455030.2015.1068964.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17455030.2015.1068964)
- 25. K. Khan, M. A. Akbar, Application of $\exp\left(-\varPhi(\xi)\right)$ -expansion method to find the exact solutions of modified *Benjamin*-*Bona*-*Mahony* equation, World Applied Sciences Journal. Vol. 24, Nr. 10, 2013, pp. 1373 – 1377. doi: 10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.24.10.1130.
- 26. W. X. Ma, H. L. Jyh, A transformed rational function method and exact solutions to the $(3+1)$ dimensional Jimbo – Miwa equation, Chaos Solitons & Fractals. Vol. 42, Nr. 3, 2009, pp. 1356 – 1363. doi: 10.1016/j.chaos.2009.03.043.
- 27. Q. Zhou, L. Liu, Y. Liu, H. Yu, P. Yao, C. Wei, H. Zhang, Exact optical solitons in Metamaterials with cubic-quintic nonlinearity and third order dispersion, Nonlinear Dynamics. Vol. 80, Nr. 3, 2015, pp. 1365 – 1371.
- 28. W. X. Ma, T. Huang, Y. Zhang, A multiple exp -function method for nonlinear differential equations and its application, Physica Scripta. Vol. 82, Nr. 6, 2010. doi: 10.1088/0031 - 949/82/06/065003.
- 29. W. X. Ma, Z. Zhu, Solving the $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional generalized KP and BKP equations by the multiple exp-function algorithm, Applied Mathematics and Computation. Vol. 218, Nr. 24, 2012, pp. 11871 – 11879. doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.05.049.
- 30. W. X. Ma, Bilinear equations, Bell polynomials and linear superposition principle, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 411*,* 2013, 012021. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/411/1/012021.
- 31. W. X. Ma, H. Y. Wu, J. S. He, Partial Differential Equations Posessing Frobenius Integrable Decompositions, Physics Letters A. Vol. 364, Nr. 1, 2006, pp. 29.
- 32. A. Zerarka, S. Ouamane, A. Attaf, On the functional variable method for finding exact solutions to a class of wave equations, Applied Mathematics and Computation. Vol. 217, Nr. 7, 2010, pp. 2897 – 2904.
- 33. E. M. E. Zayed, S. A. H. Ibrahim, The functional variable method and its applications for finding the exact solutions of nonlinear PDEs in mathematical physics, AIP Conference Proceedings 1479, 2012, pp. 2049 doi: 10.1063/1.4756592.
- 34. M. Eslami, M. Mirzazadeh, Functional variable method to study nonlinear evolution equations, Central European Journal of Engineering. Vol. 3, Nr. $3, 2013.$ pp. $451 - 458.$
- 35. S. Y. Zhang, Z. F. Liu, Three kinds of nonlinear dispersive waves in elastic rods with finite deformation, Applied Mathematics and Mechanic. Vol. 29, Nr. 7, 2008, pp. 909 – 917.
- 36. Z. F. Liu, S. Y. Zhang, Nonlinear waves and periodic solution in finite deformation elastic rod, Acta Mechanica Solida Sinica. Vol. 19, Nr. 1, 2006, pp. $1 - 8$.
- 37. J. Y. Chen, E. Pan, H. L. Chen, Wave propagation in magneto-electroelastic multilayered plates, Int. Journal of Solids and Structures*,* Vol. 44, Nr. 3–4, 2007, pp. 1073 – 1085. doi:10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2006.06.003.
- 38. C. X. Xue, E. Pan, S. Y. Zhang, Solitary waves in a magneto-electro-elastic circular rod, Smart Material Structures. Vol. 20, Nr. 10, 2011. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0964-1726/20/10/105010

A Survey on Effective Solution of the Boundary Value Problem for Improperly Elliptic Equations

S. M. Ali Raeisian

Abstract

An effective method for solving Riemann type problem for Improperly elliptic equations in complex plane is presented. We reduce the problem to a number of boundary value problems for properly elliptic equations, which can be solve by grid method.

AMS subject Classification 2010: Primary: 35G15, 65N06; Secondary: 35J40, 65N20

Keyword : boundary value problem, improperly elliptic equation, grid method .

Introduction

Let D be a simply connected domain in a complex plane with boundary $\Gamma = \partial D$, and consider the equation **[5]**

$$
\frac{\partial^n u}{\partial \overline{z}^q \partial z^p} = \frac{1}{2^n} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^q \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^p = 0, \quad (x, y) \in D, \ z = x + iy,
$$
\n(1)

 $(n = p + q)$, with boundary conditions

$$
\left. \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial N^k} \right|_{\Gamma} = f_k(x, y), \quad k = 0, \dots, p-1, \qquad (x, y) \in \Gamma, \tag{2 a}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial N^k}{\partial N^k}\Big|_{\Gamma} = f_k(x, y), \quad k = p, \dots, q-1, \quad (x, y) \in \Gamma \,.
$$
 (2 b)

without loss of generality, we suppose $q > p$; that is (1) known as an Improperly Elliptic Equation [5] Δ Last $q-p$ boundary functions are real.

Here, we will reduce the Riemann problem for the improperly equation (1) $(2a)$, $(2b)$, to a uniquely solvable Dirichlet problems for properly elliptic equations; to determine real and imaginary part of the solution separately.

By assuming $U = \Re(u) = \frac{u + \overline{u}}{2}$ and using $(2 \ a)$, $(2 \ b)$ we get that the function U satisfies the problem

$$
(x, y), k = 0,..., p-1, \t (x, y) \in \Gamma, \t (2 a)
$$
\n
$$
f_k(x, y), k = p,..., q-1, \t (x, y) \in \Gamma.
$$
\n
$$
(2 b)
$$
\nenergy, we suppose $q > p$; that is (1) known as an Improperly [5] . Last $q-p$ boundary functions are real.

\nreduce the Riemann problem for the improperly equation (1) a uniquely solvable Dirichlet problems for properly elliptic prime real and imaginary part of the solution separately.

\n
$$
f(x) = \frac{u + \overline{u}}{2} \text{ and using } (2 a), (2 b) \text{ we get that the function } U
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^q} = 0, \t z \in D
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial N^k}\Big|_{\Gamma} = \Re(f_k), \t (k = 0,..., q-1), (x, y) \in \Gamma \t (3)
$$
\nDirichlet problem for q -harmonic equation which is uniquely

\nwith to find $\Im u$.

\nsolution of the problem (1) - (2a, b) as

\n
$$
u = V + i w, V = U + iW
$$
\nof Computational & Mathematical Engineering

\nMathEnglish.com

\n24

This problem is a Dirichlet problem for q -harmonic equation which is uniquely solvable, so it remain to find $\Im u$.

We represent the solution of the problem (1) - $(2a,b)$ as

$$
u = V + i w, \ V = U + iW \tag{4}
$$

where *w* is the real valued function, satisfying the condition $\Delta^p w = 0$.

From $(2a)$ we have

$$
\Im \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial N^k} = \Im f_k, \qquad (k = 0, ..., p-1),
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^k (\Im u)}{\partial N^k} = \Im f_k, \qquad (k = 0, ..., p-1)
$$

On the other hand from (4) we have : $\mathfrak{I}u = w + \mathfrak{I}V = w + W$.

By substituting, we get

$$
\mathfrak{I}\frac{\partial^k V}{\partial N^k} + \frac{\partial^k w}{\partial N^k} = \mathfrak{I}f_k
$$

i.e.

$$
\frac{\partial^k w}{\partial N^k} = \mathfrak{F} f_k - \mathfrak{F} \bigg(\frac{\partial^k V}{\partial N^k} \bigg).
$$

Now, by solving Dirichlet problem for *P* - harmonic equation

$$
\frac{\partial^{2p} w}{\partial z^p \partial \overline{z}^p} = 0 , \qquad z \in D
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^k w(x, y)}{\partial N^k} \bigg|_{\Gamma} = \Im f_k(x, y) - \Im \left(\frac{\partial^k V(x, y)}{\partial N^k} \right) (x, y) \in \Gamma, \ (k = 0, ..., p-1)
$$

We will find the solution w . We see, that the functions U and w are determined uniquely, but the function *W* is determined uniquely up to $(q-p)^2$ real constants. So for the uniqueness of the solution we must add $(q-p)^2$ complementary conditions.

The method was applied for second , and third order equations in a rectangle **[1] , [4]** , and was experimentally tested .

Now , we consider the elliptic equation

$$
\frac{\partial^5}{\partial \overline{z}^3 \partial z^2} u(x, y) = \frac{1}{2^5} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 = 0 \quad (x, y) \in D \quad .
$$
 (5)

We take following boundary conditions for problem (5)

$$
u\big|_{\Gamma} = f_0 \; , \; \frac{\partial u}{\partial N}\big|_{\Gamma} = f_1 \; , \; \Re\bigg(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial N^2}\bigg)\bigg|_{\Gamma} = f_2 \; , \tag{6}
$$

where $f_0 \in C^{(2,\alpha)}(\Gamma)$, $f_1 \in C^{(1,\alpha)}(\Gamma)$, $f_2 \in C^{(\alpha)}(\Gamma)$ (i.e. a function f_0 with second order derivative $\frac{d^2 f_0}{dx^2}$ d^2f *ds* satisfy Holder condition on Γ , f_1 with first order derivative satisfy Holder condition on Γ and f_2 satisfy Holder condition on Γ) are given functions on Γ . We are seeking the solution of (5) , (6) in the class of functions $C^{(5)}(D) \cap C^{(2,\alpha)}(D \cup \Gamma)$.

The general solution of (1) can be represented in the form:

$$
u=\overline{z}^2 \varphi_0(z)+\overline{z} \tilde{\varphi}_1(z)+z \tilde{\varphi}_2(\overline{z})+\tilde{\varphi}_3(z)+\tilde{\varphi}_4(\overline{z}),
$$

where φ_{θ} , $\tilde{\varphi}_{i}$ (1 ≤ *i* ≤ 4) are arbitrary analytic functions in *D*.

We may replace

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}_1(z) = C_1 + z \varphi_1(z) , \ \widetilde{\varphi}_2(\overline{z}) = C_2 + \overline{z} \varphi_2(\overline{z}) ,
$$

So, we get into

$$
u = \overline{z}^2 \varphi_0(z) + C_1 \overline{z} + z \overline{z} \varphi_1(z) + C_2 z + z \overline{z} \varphi_2(\overline{z}) + \tilde{\varphi}_3(z) + \tilde{\varphi}_4(\overline{z}) ,
$$

$$
u = \overline{z}^2 \varphi_0(z) + z \overline{z} \big(\varphi_1(z) + \varphi_2(\overline{z}) \big) + \big(\Phi_3(z) + \Phi_4(\overline{z}) \big) , \tag{7}
$$

We denote $U = \Re u$, we get

$$
\frac{\partial^6 U}{\partial z^3 \partial \overline{z}^3} = \frac{\partial^6 \left(\frac{u + \overline{u}}{2}\right)}{\partial \overline{z}^3 \partial z^3} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial \overline{z}^3 \partial z^3} + \frac{\partial^6 \overline{u}}{\partial \overline{z}^3 \partial z^3} \right) = 0.
$$

We have a Dirichlet problem for the determination of *U* :

$$
\Delta^{3}U = 0,
$$
\n
$$
U\Big|_{\Gamma} = \Re(f_0)\Big|_{\Gamma},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial N}\Big|_{\Gamma} = \Re(f_1)\Big|_{\Gamma},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial N^{2}}\Big|_{\Gamma} = \Re(f_2)\Big|_{\Gamma},
$$
\n(8)

The solvability and smoothness of the solution of problem (8) follows from the general theory of elliptic problems **[3] .** From the unique solution of Dirichlet problem for third harmonic equation (8) we have U on all mesh points and a formula for representing unique solution of above Dirichlet problem may be found in **[2 , p.149].**

Applying bi-harmonic operator Δ^2 on real part of u in (7); we get into

$$
2\Delta^2 U = 2\Delta \left[\Delta \left(\overline{z}^2 \varphi_0(z) + z^2 \overline{\varphi_0(z)} \right) \right]
$$

= $\Delta \left(2\overline{z} \varphi_0'(z) + 2z \overline{\varphi_0'(z)} + (z \Phi(z))' + \overline{(z \Phi(z))}' \right)$
= $2 \varphi_0''(z) + 2 \overline{\varphi_0''(z)} = 4 \Re \left(\varphi_0''(z) \right),$

Therefore

$$
\Delta^2 U = 2\Re\left(\varphi_0^{''}(z)\right) ,\qquad (9)
$$

where φ_0'' is analytic in D, so $\Re \varphi_0''(z)$ is harmonic function.

From (9) we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}\Delta^2 U = \Re(\varphi_0''(z)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\Re \varphi_0'(z)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\Im \varphi_0'(z)) ,
$$
\n(10)

Denoting $u_1 = \Re(\varphi_0'(z))$ and $v_1 = \Im(\varphi_0'(z))$, we have Poincare problems for the determination of these functions

$$
\Delta u_1 = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x}\right)_{\!\!\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^2 U\right),
$$
\n(11)

and

$$
\Delta v_1 = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y}\right)_{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^2 U),
$$
\n(12)

Solving these problems, we get: $u_1 = u_1^0 + c_1 y + c_2$ and $v_1 = v_1^0 + c_3 x + c_4$, where u_1^0 , v_1^0 are uniquely determined functions and c_j $(j=1,..4)$ are arbitrary real constants. We must mention that by Cauchy – Riemann equations we have $c_1 = -c_3$, therefore,

we get a representation

$$
\varphi_0' = \Phi^0 + C_1 - i C_0 z ,
$$

Here C_1 is complex and C_0 is real arbitrary constant and $\Phi^0 = u_1^0 + iv_1^0$ is uniquely determined analytic function. By integration, we have

$$
\varphi_0(z) = w_0(z) + i C_0 z^2 + C_1 z + C_2 , \qquad (13)
$$

where C_0 is real constant, C_1 , C_2 are arbitrary complex constants, and w_0 is uniquely determined function .

Now, replacing φ_0 in (7) , we get

$$
u = (\overline{z}^2 w_0 + i C_0 (\overline{z} \overline{z})^2 + C_1 z \overline{z}^2 + C_2 \overline{z}^2) + z \overline{z} (\varphi_1(z) + \varphi_2(\overline{z})) + (\Phi_3(z) + \Phi_4(\overline{z}))
$$

$$
u = \overline{z}^2 w_0 + iC_0 (\overline{z} \overline{z})^2 + \overline{z} \overline{z} (C_1 \overline{z} + \varphi_1 (z) + \varphi_2 (\overline{z})) + (C_2 \overline{z}^2 + \Phi_3 (z) + \Phi_4 (\overline{z}))
$$

\n
$$
u = \overline{z}^2 w_0 + iC_0 (\overline{z} \overline{z})^2 + \left[z \overline{z} \Big(\Re \Big(\overline{C}_1 z + \varphi_1 (z) + \overline{\varphi_2 (z)} \Big) + i \Im \Big(-\overline{C}_1 z + \varphi_1 (z) - \overline{\varphi_2 (z)} \Big) \Big) \right]
$$

\n
$$
\left[\Big(\Re \Big(\overline{C}_2 z^2 \Big) - i \Im \Big(\overline{C}_2 z^2 \Big) \Big) + \Big(\Re \Phi_3 (z) + i \Im \Phi_3 (z) \Big) + \Big(\Re \Phi_4 (z) - i \Im \Phi_4 (z) \Big) \right]
$$

\n
$$
u = \overline{z}^2 w_0 + i C_0 (z \overline{z})^2 + \left[z \overline{z} \Big(\Re \Big(\overline{C}_1 z + \varphi_1 (z) + \overline{\varphi_2 (z)} \Big) \Big) + i \Im \Big(-\overline{C}_1 z + \varphi_1 (z) - \overline{\varphi_2 (z)} \Big) \Big) \right]
$$

\n
$$
+ \left[\Big(\Re \Big(\overline{C}_2 z^2 + \Phi_3 (z) + \Phi_4 (z) \Big) + i \Im \Big(-\overline{C}_2 z^2 + \Phi_3 (z) - \Phi_4 (z) \Big) \Big) \right]
$$

\ni.e.
\n
$$
u = \overline{z}^2 w_0 + i C_0 (z \overline{z})^2 + (z \overline{z} \Re \Omega_1 (z) + \Re \Omega_2 (z) \Big) + i \Big(z \overline{z} \Im \Omega_3 (z) + \Im \Omega_4 (z) \Big),
$$

\n
$$
\Omega_1 (z) = \overline{C}_1 z + \varphi_1 (z) + \overline{\varphi_2 (z)}, \Omega_
$$

$$
u = \overline{z}^2 w_0 + iC_0 (z\overline{z})^2 + \left[z\overline{z} \Big(\Re \Big(\overline{C}_1 z + \varphi_1 (z) + \overline{\varphi_2 (z)} \Big) + i \Im \Big(-\overline{C}_1 z + \varphi_1 (z) - \overline{\varphi_2 (z)} \Big) \Big) \right]
$$

+
$$
\left[\Big(\Re \Big(\overline{C}_2 z^2 + \Phi_3 (z) + \Phi_4 (z) \Big) + i \Im \Big(-\overline{C}_2 z^2 + \Phi_3 (z) - \Phi_4 (z) \Big) \Big) \right]
$$

i.e.

$$
u = \overline{z}^2 w_0 + i C_0 (\overline{z}^2) + (\overline{z}^2 \Re \Omega_1 (z) + \Re \Omega_2 (z)) + i (\overline{z}^2 \Im \Omega_3 (z) + \Im \Omega_4 (z)),
$$
\n(14)

where

$$
\Omega_{1}(z) = \overline{C}_{1} z + \varphi_{1}(z) + \overline{\varphi_{2}(z)}, \Omega_{2}(z) = \overline{C}_{2} z^{2} + \Phi_{3}(z) + \Phi_{4}(z),
$$

$$
\Omega_{3}(z) = -\overline{C}_{1} z + \varphi_{1}(z) - \overline{\varphi_{2}(z)}, \Omega_{4}(z) = \left(-\overline{C}_{2} z^{2} + \Phi_{3}(z) - \Phi_{4}(z)\right)
$$

are arbitrary analytic functions.

Finally, we represent the solution in the form

$$
u = \overline{z}^2 w_0 (z) + i C_0 (z\overline{z})^2 + H(z, \overline{z}) + i h(z, \overline{z}) , \qquad (15)
$$

where $H(z,\overline{z}) = z\overline{z} \ \Re \Omega_1(z) + \Re \Omega_2(z)$ and $h(z,\overline{z}) = z\overline{z} \ \Im \Omega_3(z) + \Im \Omega_4(z)$. Here w_0 is known function, and H , *h* are real valued functions which satisfy the condition $\Delta^2 H = \Delta^2 h = 0$ and constant C_0 is arbitrary real constant.

Now, we must determine real valued functions *H h*, . These functions satisfy biharmonic equation: $\Delta^2 H = \Delta^2 h = 0$ and from (15)

$$
U = \Re\big(\overline{z}^2 w_0(z)\big) + H(x, y),
$$

Hence, we have following Dirichlet conditions on the boundary Γ

$$
H\big|_{\Gamma} = \Re(f_0) - \Re(\overline{z}^2 w_0(z))\big|_{\Gamma} ,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial H}{\partial N}\bigg|_{\Gamma} = \Re(f_1) - \frac{\partial}{\partial N} \Re(\overline{z}^2 w_0(z))\bigg|_{\Gamma} ,
$$

Finally we get the Dirichlet problem for bi-harmonic equation

$$
\Delta^{2} H = 0,
$$
\n
$$
H\Big|_{\Gamma} = \Re(f_{0}) - \Re\left(\overline{z}^{2} w_{0}(z)\right)\Big|_{\Gamma}, \qquad (16)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial H}{\partial N}\Big|_{\Gamma} = \Re(f_{1}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial N} \Re\left(\overline{z}^{2} w_{0}(z)\right)\Big|_{\Gamma},
$$

which has a unique solution.

Analogously, we get

$$
\mathfrak{J}u = \mathfrak{J}\left(\overline{z}^2 w_0(z)\right) + C_0\left(z\overline{z}\right)^2 + h(x, y),
$$

i.e.

$$
h(x, y) = \Im u - \Im(\overline{z}^2 w_0(z)) + C_0(\overline{z}^2) , \qquad (17)
$$

and we have the same boundary problem for determination of function *h* . This problem includes arbitrary constant C_0 , therefore must be modified.

So, first we find the function h_0 , by solving Dirichlet problem for bi-harmonic equation

$$
\Delta^2 h_0 = 0 ,
$$

\n
$$
h_0|_{\Gamma} = (z\overline{z})^2|_{\Gamma} ,
$$

\n
$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial N} h_0\right)_{\Gamma} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial N} (z\overline{z})^2\right)_{\Gamma} ,
$$
\n(18)

and then, we solve following problem
\n
$$
\Delta^2 h_1 = 0,
$$
\n
$$
h_1|_{\Gamma} = (\Im u)|_{\Gamma} - \Im(\overline{z}^2 w_0(z))|_{\Gamma} = \Im f_0 - \Im(\overline{z}^2 w_0(z))|_{\Gamma},
$$
\n
$$
\left(\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial N}\right)_{\Gamma} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial N} \Im u\right)_{\Gamma} - \frac{\partial}{\partial N} \Im(\overline{z}^2 w_0(z))|_{\Gamma} = \Im f_1 - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial N} \Im(\overline{z}^2 w_0(z))\right)_{\Gamma},
$$
\n(19)

These problems are uniquely solvable ,and the solution of (19) will be $h = h - C_0 h_0$.

Replacing the function $_h$ into (15) , we find

$$
u = \overline{z}^{2} w_{0}(z) + H(x, y) + i \left(h_{1}(x, y) - C_{0} h_{0}(x, y) \right) + i C_{0} (\overline{z} \overline{z})^{2},
$$

or

$$
u = \overline{z}^2 w_0(z) + H(x, y) + i h_1(x, y) + i C_0 ((z\overline{z})^2 - h_0),
$$

So, during above argument we had proved that by using our algorithm we can find the solution of the problem (5) , (6) with only one constant C_0 , and linearly independent solution for corresponding homogeneous problem is

$$
u_0 = i \left(\left(z\overline{z} \right)^2 - h_0 \right),
$$

Here h_0 is bi-harmonic function and uniquely determined from problem (18) .

Thus, applying the same method as in previous points, we reduce the start problem to the boundary value problems for properly elliptic equations with real coefficients.

References

[1] A.O.Babayan , S.M.Ali Raeisian , Advances in Difference Equations (2013) , 2013 : 190 . DOI : 10.1186/1687-1847-2013-190.

[2] H. Begehr and T. Vaitekhovich, Le Mathematice, Iterative Dirichlet problem for the higher order Poisson equation, Vol. LXIII (2008)-Fasc. I, pp.139-154.

[3] J.L. Lions and E.Magenes,Problemes aux Limites non Homogenes et applications Vol.1, Dunod, Paris,1968.

Caspian Journal of Comput ational & Mathematical Engineering 2016 , No.2 (September 2016) www.CJComputMathEngin.com

[4] S.M.Ali Raeisian , J.Contemp . Anal , (2013) 48 : 85 . DOI : 10.3103/S1068362313020052

[5] N.E. Tovmasyan, Dirichlet type problem for a class of higher-order improperly elliptic equations, Izvestiya Akademii Nauk Armenii. Mathematika,

Vol.27, No.1,1992.

Rahpoyan Andisheh & Honar cultural and artistic Institute

Activities :

- Implementation of cultural & artistic projects
- Cultural and artistic trade
- Organizing to Perform concerts, theaters, exhibitions
- Leading artistic lessons (Painting, Graphic designing, Photography,...)
- Inviting artistic groups for performance
- **Director** : Dr. S.M.Ali Raeisian
- **Email** : rpandishehonar@gmail.com

وحُي پذیزع مقبالت

نشریه تخصصی CJCME دستَاور د و نتَابِج تحقیقات اساتید و بژو هشگر ان ر شته های فنی مهندسی و علوم بابه را منتشر مے کند .

از محققانی که بر ای این نشر یه مقاله تهیه می کنند در خو است می شود ضمن ر عایت دقیق مفاد آئین نامه نگارش نشریه تخصصی CJCME ، مقالات خود را در یک نسخه فایل Word (هناكثر 20 صفحه) از طشیق پست الکتشَوی[ک](mailto:CJCMEmail@gmail.com) [com.gmail@CJCMEmail](mailto:CJCMEmail@gmail.com) اسسبل داسوذ .

تمامی مقالات توسط داور ان نیصلاح ارزشیابی می شوند و نشریه تخصصی CJCME در پذیرش ، عدم پذیرش ، حذف و یا کوتاه نمودن مقالات برای چاپ آزاد است .

فقط مقالاتی جهت انتشار در نشریه تخصصی CJCME مورد بررسی قرار می گیرند که قبلاً در نشریات علمی پژو هشی دیگر به چاپ نرسیده باشند .

نویسندگان مقالات مسئول نوشته ها و نظر ات خود هستند و ار اء و نظریات انـان الز اما نظر ۱عضـای هیات ً تحریریه نیست .

Editorial Board

Dr. Seyed Mohammadali Raeisian , Iran Fields of Interests: Differential Equations , Elliptic Partial Differential Equations , Numerical Methods

Professor Armenak Babayan , National Polytechnic University of Armenia , Armenia

Fields of Interests: Differential Equations , Partial Differential Equations , Elliptic Partial Differential Equations , Integral Equations , Singular Integral Equations , Wiener-Hopf Equations , Numerical Methods , Complex Analysis

Professor Esmaiel Babolian , Kharazmi University , Iran

Fields of Interests : Numerical Analysis , Numerical solution of Partial Differential Equations , Numerical solution of Integral Equations , Approximation Theory , Galerkin Method for Integral and Integro-Differential Equations

Dr . Elmar Diederichs , Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology , Russia

Fields of Interests : Numerical Analysis , Machine Learning , Optimization and Non-parametric Statistics

Professor Levon Gevorgyan *,* **National Polytechnic University of Armenia , Armenia**

Fields of Interests : Functional analysis , Operator Theory , Functional Analysis , Harmonic Analysis , Spectral Theory , Krylov Subspace Methods , Paranormal Operators , Numerical Range

Professor Henk Koppelaar , **Fac. Electric Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science, Delft University of Technology Delft, The Netherlands**

Fields of Interests : Neural Networks , Data Mining , AI , Automated Programming, Automated Discovery ,Computer Algebra, Discrete Mathematics, Experimental Mathematics, Fractional Calculus, Mathematical Physics, Numerical Analysis, Proof Automation .

Professor Vanya Alexandrovich Mirzoyan , Applied Mathematics and Informatics Faculty, National Polytechnic University of Armenia , Armenia

Fields of Interests : Geometry and Topology , Differential Geometry , Riemannian Geometry , Geometry of Riemannian Submanifolds

Dr. Antti Rasila , **Aalto University, Helsinki, Finland**

Fields of Interests : Complex Analysis, Partial Differential Equations, Computational Methods , Geometric function theory , Elliptic PDEs

Professor Masoud Sabbaghan , The College of Sciences of the University of Tehran , Iran

Fields of Interests : Fractal , Dynamical Systems , Fixed Point Theorem

Professor Hashem Saberi Najafi , **The University of Guilan & Islamic Azad University of Lahijan branch , Iran**

Fields of Interests : Numerical Analysis , Numerical Linear Algebra , Numerical Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations , Numerical Modeling of the Oceans

http://www.cjcomputmathengin.com/editorial-board/

Caspian Journal of Computational & Mathematical Engineering (CJCME) : ًوشزی اطم دَري اوتشبر : دَفصلىبمً سثبن وشزیً : اوگلیظی ، فبرطی ، رَطی ، ارمىی. شمبرگبن : 500 وظخً صبحت امتیبس : دکتز طیذ محمذ علی رئیظیبن مذیز مظئُل : دکتز طیذ محمذ علی رئیظیبن رئیضٌیت تحزیزیً : دکتز طیذ محمذ علی رئیظیبن شمبري مجُس وشزیً : 75729 محل اوتشبر : رَدطز وشبوی : گیالن ، رَدطز ، صىذَق پظتی 1165 44815- دکتز طیذ محمذ علی رئیظیبن CJCMEmail@gmail.com , smaraissian53@gmail.com : ویکَالکتز پظت تمبص : 4988 143 911(+98) , 42613646 - 13) +98(http://www.cjcomputmathengin.com : طبیت ةَ َیزاطتبری َ صفحً ثىذی َ تىظیم : مُطظً فزٌىگی َ ٌىزی ري پُیبن اوذیشً َ ٌىز rpandishehonar@gmail.com

قیمت : 15000 تُمبن

تبریخ اوتشبر : شٍزیُر 1395

کلیه حقوق اعم از چاپو تکثیر به هر شکل و میزان، نسخه برداری ، ترجمه و جز اینها برای نشریه تخصص*ی* **Caspian Journal of Computational & Mathematical Engineering (CJCME) محفُظ اطت. متخلفیه ثز اطبص قبوُن حمبیت اس مُلفیه َ مصىفیه َ ٌىزمىذان تحت تعقیف قزار خُاٌىذ گزفت.**

Copyright © 2016 CJCME

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the written permission of the copyright owner.

ISSN: 2476-4418 Computational & Mathematical Engineering Caspian Journal **CAShrillAN** itational & Mathematical I 2016
No.1 σ Caspian Journal of Computational & Mathematical Engineering (CJCME) • 2016 • $No.1$ \ddot{a} \overline{a} b Caspian Journal of Computational & Mathematical Engineering (CJCME) Defect Numbers of the Dirichlet Problem for Higher Order Partial Differential
Equations in the Unit Disc , A. H. Babayan, V.A. Babayan 1 geometrical Isomorphism and the relativity of geometry, George Mpantes **Thaicia Stona** A unified approach to the data fitting problem, L.Gevorgyan $\begin{bmatrix} \n\lambda \\
\lambda\n\end{bmatrix}$ Engin Convex geometric reasoning for crystalline energies, No.1 Philip LLOYD & Mathematical $7\,$. $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ 2016 Introduction to phantom graph, Contents **Caspian Journal of Comput ational & Mathematical Engineering**

2016 , No.2 (September 2016) www.CJComputMathEngin.com

ISSN (Print) : 2476-4418 ISSN (Online): 2476 - 5252 Computational & Mathematical Engineering Caspian Journal $\frac{1}{2}$ tational & Mathematical 225777 2016
No.2 σ Caspian Journal of Computational & Mathematical Engineering (CJCME) • 2016 • No.2 P.5 Caspian Journal of Computational & Mathematical Engineering (CJCME) $\begin{bmatrix} \n\lambda \n\end{bmatrix}$ No. 2 & Mathematical 777 \bullet 2016 Contents **Caspian Journal of Comput ational & Mathematical Engineering**

2016 , No.2 (September 2016) www.CJComputMathEngin.com